

HOMEWOOD ACADEMIC COUNCIL Associate Teaching Professor and Teaching Professor Appointment and Promotion Checklist

Please review the attached checklist during preparation of the dossier to ensure all necessary items are present. Guidelines and rules concerning the solicitation of letters from external referees and the confidentiality of the review are provided in the below documents that can be found on the Academic Council's website: http://academiccouncil.jhu.edu/appointments-promotions/

- the Academic Council Procedures Manual Description of Academic Titles [ACPM] and
- the Academic Council Guidelines for the Promotion/Appointment of Associate Teaching Professors and Teaching Professors [ACG]

Departmental Dossier

- A letter from the department chair (or director of a center, program, or institute approved to make such requests) to the appropriate Dean. The letter should include:
- A request for the appointment or promotion specifying the requested title, start date, and term of
 appointment consistent with the ACPM and titles tables therein, available at http://academiccouncil.jhu.edu/appointments-promotions/.
 - The rationale for the proposed appointment or promotion
 - o The responsibilities of this rank (ATP or TP) in the department and the candidate's qualifications for this rank
 - In the case of a department, the letter must contain a summary of the faculty's views about the candidate's contributions to teaching;
 - an explanation of why, in the case of full-time appointments, the teaching in question should not be carried out by tenure-track faculty;
 - a report of the vote of the tenured and tenure-track faculty within the department
 - o In the case of a center, program, or institute the letter must contain:
 - an assessment of the candidate's teaching, including the views of the teaching faculty in the center at or above the rank of the position sought;
 - a list of the members of the center, program, or institute's internal appointment and promotion committee of faculty, the majority of whom are tenured faculty in the Homewood Schools;
 - a report of the vote of the internal appointment and promotion committee
 - The candidate's significant interactions outside the department, if applicable
 - A teaching assessment in which the quality and quantity of teaching is evaluated (summary teaching evaluations should be included whenever possible)
 - o For promotion only—describe any variance from normal promotion schedule
 - The letter should provide a critical but balanced evaluation of the candidate's qualifications under the specific Criteria for the position as specified in the ACG.
 - The letter should summarize the required referee letters. *Note: Request letters to referees should closely follow examples approved by the Academic Council. The number, rank, and institution of referees must meet the requirements specified for the position in the ACG*. The letter should indicate those points made by the referees that the committee found most persuasive—both for and against the promotion or appointment.
 - The letter should include the following information
 - Table of referees with affiliation and response status
 - How referees were selected
 - How the number, rank, and institution of referees meets the requirements for the position as specified in the ACG
 - Biographical information for <u>all</u> referees contacted by the department or center (e.g. institutional affiliation, rank)

HOMEWOOD ACADEMIC COUNCIL

Associate Teaching Professor and Teaching Professor Appointment and Promotion Checklist

- The role of the candidate, if any, in the selection of referees
- List of documents provided to the referees
- All substantial affiliations with the candidate should be reported (e.g. thesis or postdoctoral advisor, research collaborator, co-author, faculty colleague)
- Documentation of correspondence with the referees, such as sample letters or emails to late respondents. (If phone calls are placed, these too must be documented with extreme care taken not to lobby for or against the candidate). The actual correspondence may be included as appended items.
- A minority report, if any

Teaching Dossier

A teaching dossier should be provided, as required by the ACPM, the ACG, containing at least:

- A current and dated curriculum vitae and publication list, which should include ALL of the applicable items on the checklist
- A teaching statement, detailing the candidate's teaching philosophy and classroom practice, pedagogical accomplishments, program coordination (if applicable), curriculum development, staff mentorship (if applicable), and goals, and discussing how or she or he will continue to advance the teaching mission of the Department (center, program, or institute)
- Selected course syllabi
- A teaching evaluation summary, compiled by the department, of all available evaluations for courses taught at Johns
 Hopkins or at previous institutions
- Copies of selected relevant publications
- Other materials (e.g., department service, outreach activities, etc.).

For appointments only

- An affirmative action report should be completed with the following issues addressed:
 - o efforts to recruit women and minorities;
 - o specific measures taken to ensure that the search process was as inclusive as possible
- For target of opportunity appointments, the justification for a targeted hire should be explained

HOMEWOOD ACADEMIC COUNCIL Associate Teaching Professor and Teaching Professor Appointment and Promotion Checklist

Candidate:				Department:			
Rank:			Effective Date:				
Department Dossier					Teaching Dossier		
□ Department Letter addressing				Curriculum vitae:			
	□ Requested title, start date, term				Professional recognition		
	•		onale for appointment/promotion			ist of awards, prizes, fellowships (if any)	
	□ Responsibilities of proposed rank				cations and Presentations		
		☐ Qualifications for proposed rank				Co-authors and page numbers for all scholarly	
	☐ For Departments:				publications or DOI** for unpaginated web publications		
	•					hing information	
			contribution to teaching			ist of courses taught each semester	
			☐ If full-time, why not tenure-track			Number of students/class	
			☐ Number of voting faculty & tally of vote		Progr	ram Management (if applicable)	
	☐ For Centers/Programs/Institutes:		Centers/Programs/Institutes:			Number of courses supervised	
			☐ Summary of faculty view of candidate's			Number of staff supervised	
			contribution to teaching			Description of staff mentorship activities	
			☐ List of internal appointment and promotion			f Service	
			committee			Service to department (list)	
		_	□ Number of voting faculty & tally of vote			Service to university (list)	
			didate's external interactions (if applicable)			Service to the profession (list)	
	☐ Teaching assessment, summarizing course evaluations		S		ing (if applicable)		
		sche	lanation of any variance from normal promotion edule			ist of grants and contracts with PI status, agency, lates and levels of funding	
		Evaluation of the candidate's qualifications under the specific Criteria set forth in ACPM and ACG.				ist of fellowships or other scholarly support	
_		-				Scholarly and Technical Output (if applicable)	
	☐ Summary of referee letters☐ Referee information				ist of patents		
	ш		Number of referees			Software and other technical work	
			Table of all referees requested, with affiliation and	1		Artistic work	
		ш	response status	'	Teac	hing Statement	
			How referees were selected and their			ted course syllabi	
			appropriateness			hing Evaluation Summary	
			Compliance of referee number, rank, and institution	n \square		ted relevant publications	
			with ACG requirements		Othe	r materials	
			Biographical information for all referees	For	r Anno	intments Only	
			Candidate role in the selection of referees			native Action report	
			List of documents provided to the referees			of advertisements	
			List of substantial (known) affiliations between candidate and referee			f journals in which advertisements were placed	
Λnı	ond	lad ite				es of correspondence with relevant departments in the	
Appended items				_	field	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	
		□ Letters from referees □ Other communication with referees (i.e. declines, emails, etc.) □ Other (e.g. letters of appraisal from scholars holding a lower rank than candidate) □ Steps taken to make the search process and minorities **DOI = Digital Object Identifier (see http://www.ntp/			Steps	s taken to make the search process inclusive to women	
					and n	ninorities	
				pigital Object Identifier (see http://www.doi.org).			
				_			
	Co	resp	ondence with Referees				

☐ **Minority Report**, if any